

Approved For Release 2002/06/24 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000100050019-0  
DRAFT  
24 March 1967 ILLEGIB

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, National Reconnaissance Office

SUBJECT: Possible Relocation of IDEALIST Project to  
Davis Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona

REFERENCE: [ ] 2196-67, same subject, dated 14 March 1967

1. Pursuant to your verbal request, we are submitting herewith additional information concerning the relocation of the IDEALIST project suggested by BOB. The referent study listed a number of reasons why the relocation of the IDEALIST Detachment would not be feasible. The purpose of this memorandum is to list those advantages which might accrue from such a move if it should occur. This assumes the expenditure of [ ] to build the additional facilities at Davis Monthan and to effect the transfer of the Detachment. Otherwise, the assumptions for this memorandum are the same as for the referent study.

2. Perhaps the most obvious area of potential savings would be the closure of the North Base at Edwards Air Force Base. Since it would take two years to effect the move, the testing of the U-2R should be substantially completed and the closure of North Base could be considered. The projected savings would have to be offset by the fact that the support costs at Davis Monthan would be simultaneously increased. We have no way of computing the cost of the direct and indirect

Approved For Release 2002/06/24 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000100050019-0

SECRET

Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

~~SECRET~~


Approved For Release 2002/06/24 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000100050019-0

support rendered to the Detachment by the Air Force, but we believe that they would be substantially the same at either base. In effect, therefore, the savings which would accrue from the closure would be in such limited areas as runway and taxiway maintenance, fire protection, etc. These expenses at Davis Monthan will exist whether the Detachment is there or not. We cannot provide a cost figure for this type of maintenance.

3. Another possibility of savings would be in those areas where the two U-2 fleets could use joint facilities. Both are now serviced by the Warner-Robbins Depot and to a degree they could probably share common supply facilities at Davis Monthan. However, we do not believe that it would be possible to completely integrate the supply function. It would still be necessary to maintain separate control of the sterile sensors and other sensitive materiel used in the IDEALIST program. Further, the authorization documentation for IDEALIST is not compatible with standard Air Force supply documentation. Consequently, there would probably be no personnel reductions and the savings to be realized would be largely in the warehouse space itself.

4. The relocation of the IDEALIST unit could result in some savings in the communications facilities since the IDEALIST communications staff could then relieve the Air Force of the requirement to provide  communications to 25X1A

~~SECRET~~



SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/06/24 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000100050019-0

the SAC component. We doubt that this would result in the reduction of USAF personnel assigned to the Air Force commo unit, but it would reduce the workload. The IDEALIST commo unit is responsible for deployment communications support as well as base support, and we do not believe that relocation would affect its mission or strength.

5. If the two units were located at the same base, it would be possible for them to exchange equipment in emergencies. This is a minimal consideration at this time, although there have been some exchanges of cameras, navigation aids, etc. Liaison and the exchange of ideas would also be easier.

6. Another area of joint usage would be fuel storage. However, it would still be necessary to maintain separate systems to deliver fuel from the dump to the aircraft because the quality controls for the covert operations are somewhat more rigid than for normal operations. This could, of course, be overcome if SAC adopted the IDEALIST quality control procedures.

7. Certain areas which would normally suggest improved efficiency through joint use (motor pools, etc.) have not been included because such arrangements have already been put into effect at Edwards. The items listed above include only those which we believe would result in tangible net savings. They do not include support items which would merely be transferred from one base to the other. It is our considered opinion that the advantages above are far outweighed by other factors listed in the referent memorandum and that a relocation of the Detachment would not be in the best interests of the

Approved For Release 2002/06/24 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000100050019-0

SECRET

Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

~~SECRET~~

Government. On the basis of a five year amortization, it is clear that the cost of a move would be significantly greater than the continuation of the present arrangement.

TBA

~~SECRET~~

